

# THE $\mathbb{F}_2$ -COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF $Sol^3$ -MANIFOLDS

J.A.HILLMAN

ABSTRACT. We compute  $H^*(N; \mathbb{F}_2)$  for  $N$  a  $Sol^3$ -manifold, and then determine the Borsuk-Ulam indices  $BU(N, \phi)$  with  $\phi \neq 0$  in  $H^1(N; \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem states that any continuous function  $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  takes the same value at some antipodal pair of points. This may be put in a broader context as follows. Let  $N$  be an  $n$ -manifold and let  $N_\phi$  be the double cover associated to an epimorphism  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow Z/2Z$ . Let  $t_\phi$  be the covering involution. The *Borsuk-Ulam index*  $BU(N, \phi)$  is the maximal value of  $k$  such that for all maps  $f : N_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  there is an  $x \in N_\phi$  with  $f(x) = f(t_\phi(x))$ . Then the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is equivalent to the assertion that  $BU(RP^n, \alpha) = n$ , where  $\alpha : \pi_1(RP^n) \rightarrow Z/2Z$  is the canonical epimorphism.

In low dimensions this invariant may be determined cohomologically, and is known for many pairs  $(N, \phi)$ , with  $N$  a Seifert fibred 3-manifold, including all those with geometry  $\mathbb{E}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $Nil^3$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  [1, 2]. Here we shall determine this invariant for all such pairs with  $N$  a closed  $Sol^3$ -manifold. This follows easily once we know the mod-2 cohomology rings of such manifolds. We compute these using Poincaré duality and elementary properties of cup-product in the low-degree cohomology of groups. (Our approach can also be applied to  $\mathbb{E}^3$ - and  $Nil^3$ -manifolds.)

## 1. $Sol^3$ -MANIFOLDS AND THEIR GROUPS

Let  $M$  be a closed  $Sol^3$ -manifold. Then  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  has an unique maximal abelian normal subgroup  $\sqrt{\pi}$ , which is free abelian of rank 2. (This subgroup is in fact the Hirsch-Plotkin radical [5] of  $\pi$ .) The quotient  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi}$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$  (i.e., has two ends), and so is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $D_\infty = Z/2Z * Z/2Z$  by a finite normal subgroup. The preimage of this finite normal subgroup is torsion-free, and so is either  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{-1} \mathbb{Z}$  (the Klein bottle group). Since  $Out(\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{-1} \mathbb{Z})$  is finite and  $\pi$  is not virtually abelian, this preimage must be  $\sqrt{\pi}$ . Hence  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}$  or  $D_\infty$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Borsuk-Ulam Theorem, cohomology,  $Sol^3$ -manifold.

Suppose first that  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $M$  is the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of  $T = S^1 \times S^1$ , and  $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_{\Theta} \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus  $\pi$  has a presentation

$$\langle t, x, y \mid txt^{-1} = x^a y^b, tyt^{-1} = x^c y^d, xy = yx \rangle.$$

Let  $\varepsilon = \det(\Theta) = \pm 1$  and  $\tau = \text{tr}(\Theta) = a + d$ . Then  $M$  is orientable if and only if  $\varepsilon = 1$ , in which case  $|\tau| > 2$ , since  $\pi$  is not virtually nilpotent. Let  $\theta$  be a root of the characteristic polynomial  $\det(\Theta - XI_2) = X^2 - \tau X + \varepsilon$ . Then  $\theta$  is a unit in the quadratic number field  $\mathbb{Q}[\theta]$ , and  $\sqrt{\pi}$  is isomorphic to an ideal  $I$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ . (The latter may not be the full ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}[\theta]$ !)

Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is a quadratic algebraic unit and  $I$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  then  $I$  is free abelian of rank 2 as an abelian group, and  $\pi = I \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is the group of the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of  $T$ . If  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity this mapping torus is a  $Sol^3$ -manifold. If  $(\alpha, [I])$  and  $(\beta, [J])$  are two such pairs the corresponding groups are isomorphic if and only if either  $\beta = \pm\alpha$  and  $[I] = [J]$  or  $\beta = \pm\alpha^{-1}$  and  $[I] = \overline{[J]}$ . (Here  $[I]$  denotes the ideal class of  $I$  and the overbar denotes the Galois involution given by  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \varepsilon\alpha^{-1}$ .) Each such ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  has finitely many ideal classes, by the Jordan-Zassenhaus Theorem.

If  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong D_{\infty}$  then  $\pi \cong B *_T C$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are torsion-free,  $T \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $[B : T] = [C : T] = 2$ . Thus  $M$  is the union of two twisted  $I$ -bundles. Moreover,  $\beta_1(\pi; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Hence  $M$  is orientable, since  $\chi(M) = 0$ , and so  $B$  and  $C$  must be copies of the Klein bottle group. Hence  $M$  is the union of two copies of the mapping cylinder of the double cover of the Klein bottle. The double cover of  $M$  corresponding to the preimage of  $\sqrt{D_{\infty}}$  in  $\pi$  is a mapping torus.

In particular,  $\pi$  has a presentation

$$\langle u, v, y, z \mid uyu^{-1} = y^{-1}, vzv^{-1} = z^{-1}, yz = zy, v^2 = u^{2a}y^b, z = u^{2c}y^d \rangle,$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  corresponds to the identification of  $\sqrt{C}$  with  $T = \sqrt{B}$ . This presentation simplifies immediately to

$$\langle u, v, y \mid uyu^{-1} = y^{-1}, v^2 = u^{2a}y^b, vu^{2c}y^d v^{-1} = u^{-2c}y^{-d} \rangle.$$

Hence  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus Z/4Z$  if  $b$  is odd, and  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus (Z/2Z)^2$  if  $b$  is even. Let  $x = u^2$ . Then conjugation by  $uv$  acts on  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  via  $\Psi = \eta \begin{pmatrix} ad+bc & 2ac \\ 2bd & ad+bc \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\eta = ad - bc = \pm 1$ . We have  $\det(\Psi) = 1$ ,  $\text{tr}(\Psi) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\Psi \equiv I_2 \pmod{2}$  and  $abcd \neq 0$ .

Conversely, any  $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $abcd \neq 0$  gives rise to such a  $Sol^3$ -manifold, for then  $|\text{tr}(\Psi)| = 2|ad + bc| \geq 6$ . Moreover, suppose  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2k+1 & 2m \\ 2n & 2k+1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $mn \neq 0$ . Then  $k(k+1) = mn$ , and so we may write  $m = m_1 m_2$  and  $n = n_1 n_2$ , with  $k = m_1 n_1$

and  $k + 1 = m_2 n_2$ . The  $Sol^3$ -rational homology sphere corresponding to  $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ -n_2 & n_1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , is doubly covered by the mapping torus associated to  $P$ .

Every quadratic unit  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = 1$  is realized in this way. Which ideal classes are realized? The ideal class must be invariant under the conjugation  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{-1}$ .

Every subgroup of finite index in  $\pi$  can be generated by three elements, while proper subgroups of infinite index need at most two generators. If a nontrivial normal subgroup  $N$  has infinite index in  $\pi$  then it has Hirsch length  $\leq 2$ . Hence it is abelian, and so has finite index in  $\sqrt{\pi}$ . Thus proper quotients of a  $Sol^3$ -group  $\pi$  either have two ends or are finite.

## 2. THE MOD-2 COHOMOLOGY RING

Martins has constructed an explicit free resolution  $P_* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  of the augmentation  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module, and a partial diagonal approximation  $\Delta : P_* \rightarrow P_* \otimes P_*$ , which he used to compute the integral cohomology ring, for semirect products  $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_{\Theta} \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\Theta \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  [4]. His formulae should (in principle) apply with coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_2$  also.

We shall take a somewhat different approach, first computing cup products into  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and then using Poincaré duality. Our strategy in determining relations in  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$  shall be to use restrictions to subgroups (such as  $\sqrt{\pi}$ ) and epimorphisms to quotient groups (such as  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi}$  or small finite 2-groups), with known cohomology rings.

We shall usually write  $H_*(X)$  and  $H^*(X)$  for the homology and cohomology of a space or group  $X$ , with coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and denote the cup-product by juxtaposition. In each case considered below, the given generators for a group  $G$  represent a basis for  $H_1(G)$ , and we shall use the corresponding Kronecker dual bases for  $H^1(G) = Hom(H_1(G), \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $w = w_1(\pi)$ . Then  $w\alpha\beta = \alpha^2\beta + \alpha\beta^2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(\pi)$ . In particular, if  $w = 0$  then  $\alpha^2\beta = \alpha\beta^2$  and  $(\alpha + \beta)^3 = \alpha^3 + \beta^3$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the Wu relation  $Sq^1 z = w \cup z$  for all  $z \in H^{n-1}(X)$ , which holds for any  $PD_n$ -complex  $X$ . The second follows easily.  $\square$

If  $G$  is a group let  $X^n(G) = \langle g^n | g \in G \rangle$  be the subgroup generated by all  $n^{\text{th}}$  powers. The next lemma is a refinement of Theorem 2 of [3] (which is restated here as part (1) of the lemma).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $\rho, \phi, \psi \in H^1(G)$ . Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\rho)$  and  $L = K \cap \text{Ker}(\phi)$ . Then*

- (1) the kernel of cup product from the symmetric product  $\odot^2 H^1(G)$  to  $H^2(G)$  is the dual of  $X^2(G)/X^4(G)[G, X^2(G)]$ ;
- (2) the canonical projections induce isomorphisms  $H^1(G/X^2(K)) \cong H^1(G/X^2(L)) \cong H^1(G/X^4(G)) \cong H^1(G)$ ;
- (3)  $\rho\phi = 0$  in  $H^2(G) \Leftrightarrow \rho\phi = 0$  in  $H^2(G/X^2(K))$ ;
- (4)  $\phi^2 = \rho\phi + \rho\psi$  in  $H^2(G) \Leftrightarrow \phi^2 = \rho\phi + \rho\psi$  in  $H^2(G/X^2(L))$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) is Theorem 2 of [3], while part (2) is clear.

If  $\phi\psi = 0$  in  $H^2(G)$  then there is a 1-cochain  $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\phi(g)\psi(h) = \delta F(g, h) = F(gh) + F(g) + F(h)$ , for all  $g, h \in G$ . Part (3) follows easily, since  $F$  restricts to a homomorphism on  $K$ , and is constant on cosets of  $X^2(K)$ .

Part (4) is similar. □

In most of the cases considered here, the coefficients in the linear relations determining the kernel of cup product may be found by restricting to 2-generator subgroups.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\{T, Y\}$  be the basis for  $H^1(D_8)$  corresponding to the presentation  $D_8 = \langle t, y | t^2 = y^4 = 1, tyt^{-1} = y^{-1} \rangle$ . Then  $(T+Y)Y = 0$  in  $H^2(D_8)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D_\infty$  have the presentation  $\langle u, v | u^2 = v^2 = 1 \rangle$ , and let  $U, V$  be the dual basis for  $H^1(D_\infty)$ . Then  $H^*(D_\infty) = \mathbb{F}_2[U, V]/(UV)$ . Let  $f : D_\infty \rightarrow D_8$  be the epimorphism given by  $f(u) = t$  and  $f(v) = ty$ . Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism  $D_\infty/X^4(D_\infty) \cong D_8$ , so  $H^2(f)$  is injective. Since  $f^*U = T + Y$  and  $f^*V = Y$ , we see that  $(T+Y)Y = 0$  in  $H^2(D_8)$ . □

Let  $E$  be the ‘‘almost extraspecial’’ 2-group with presentation

$$\langle t, u, v \mid t^2 = 1, u^2 = v^2, tut^{-1} = u^{-1}, tv = vt, uv = vu \rangle.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\{T, U, V\}$  be the basis for  $H^1(E)$  corresponding to the above presentation. Then  $TU + U^2 + V^2 = 0$  in  $H^2(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X^2(E) \cong Z/2Z$ , the kernel of cup product from  $\odot^2 H^1(G)$  to  $H^2(G)$  has dimension 1 [3]. Thus there is a unique nontrivial linear relation  $aT^2 + bU^2 + cV^2 + dTU + eTV + fUV = 0$  in  $H^2(E)$ . The coefficients can be determined by restriction to the subgroups  $\langle t \rangle \cong Z/4Z$ ,  $\langle t, u \rangle \cong D_8$ ,  $\langle t, v \rangle \cong Z/4Z \oplus Z/2Z$ , and  $\langle u, v \rangle \cong Z/4Z \oplus Z/2Z$ . □

### 3. MAPPING TORI

Suppose that  $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_\Theta \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\varepsilon = ad - bc = \pm 1$  and  $\tau = a + d$ . Let  $\Delta_1 = \det(\Theta - I_2) = 1 - \tau + \varepsilon$  and

$\Delta_2 = (a-1, b, c, d-1)$  be the elementary divisors of  $\Theta - I_2$ . Then  $\Delta_2^2$  divides  $\Delta_1$ , and

$$\pi^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/(\Delta_1/\Delta_2)Z \oplus Z/\Delta_2 Z.$$

Let  $\beta = \beta_1(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Then  $1 \leq \beta \leq 3$ , and  $\beta_2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = \beta$ , by Poincaré duality. Let  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow Z/2Z$  be the unique epimorphism which factors through  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\pi$  is non-orientable then  $\rho = w_1(M)$ , and  $K = \pi^+$ , the maximal orientable subgroup of  $\pi$ .

1. If  $\tau$  is odd then  $\Delta_1$  is odd and  $\pi^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{odd}$ . In this case  $\rho$  is the unique epimorphism from  $\pi$  to  $Z/2Z$ , and

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \Xi]/(\rho^2, \Xi^2),$$

where  $\Xi$  has degree 2, by Poincaré duality.

2. If  $\tau \equiv \varepsilon - 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $\pi^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/2Z \oplus \text{odd}$ , and  $\beta = 2$ . Hence  $H^1(\pi) = \langle \rho, \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  does not factor through  $Z/4Z$ . Moreover, if  $G = \pi/X^4(\pi)$  then  $X^2(G) \cong (Z/2Z)^2$  is central in  $G$ . Thus  $\rho^2 = \rho\sigma = 0$ , by Lemma 2, while  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ . Hence  $H^2(\pi) = \langle \sigma^2, \Xi \rangle$ , for some  $\Xi$  of degree 2. Duality then implies that  $\sigma^3 = \rho\Xi \neq 0$ . We may assume also that  $\sigma\Xi = 0$ , and so

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \Xi]/(\rho^2, \rho\sigma, \sigma\Xi, \rho\Xi + \sigma^3, \Xi^2).$$

3. If  $\tau \equiv \varepsilon + 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\Delta_2$  is odd then  $\pi^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/2^k Z \oplus \text{odd}$ , for some  $k \geq 2$ . Hence  $H^1(\pi) = \langle \rho, \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma^2 = \rho^2 = 0$ . Since  $\rho\sigma = 0$ , by the nondegeneracy of Poincaré duality,

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \Xi, \Omega]/(\rho^2, \rho\sigma, \sigma^2, \rho\Omega, \sigma\Xi, \rho\Xi + \sigma\Omega, \Xi^2, \Omega^2, \Xi\Omega),$$

where  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$  have degree 2.

In all the remaining cases  $\beta = 3$ . For if  $\tau \equiv \varepsilon + 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\Delta_2$  is even then  $a$  and  $d$  are odd and  $b$  and  $c$  are even. Hence  $\Delta_1 = 2^k q$  and  $\Delta_2 = 2^\ell q'$ , where  $0 < \ell \leq \frac{k}{2}$  and  $q, q'$  are odd. In this case  $\pi^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/2^{k-\ell} Z \oplus Z/2^\ell Z \oplus \text{odd}$ , so the images of  $\{t, x, y\}$  form a basis for  $H_1(\pi)$ . Let  $\{\rho, \sigma, \psi\}$  be the dual basis, so that

$$\sigma(x) = \psi(y) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(t) = \sigma(y) = \psi(t) = \psi(x) = 0.$$

If  $G = \pi/X^4(\pi)$  then  $X^2(G) = \langle t^2, x^2, y^2 \rangle \cong (Z/2Z)^3$  is central in  $G$ , so the kernel of cup product from  $\odot^2 H^1(\pi)$  to  $H^2(\pi)$  has rank 3. It then follows from Poincaré duality that  $H^*(\pi)$  is generated as a ring by  $H^1(\pi)$ . In each case,  $\rho\sigma^2 = \rho\rho\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho\psi^2 = \rho\rho\psi = 0$ , by Lemma 1. Hence  $\rho\sigma\psi \neq 0$ , by the nondegeneracy of Poincaré duality. It then follows easily that  $\rho\sigma$ ,  $\rho\psi$  and  $\sigma\psi$  are linearly independent, and so form a basis for  $H^2(\pi)$ . We may write

$$\sigma^2 = m\rho\sigma + n\rho\psi + p\sigma\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^2 = q\rho\sigma + r\rho\psi + s\sigma\psi,$$

for some  $m, \dots, s$ . On restricting to  $\sqrt{\pi}$ , we see that  $p = s = 0$ , since  $\sigma^2|_{\sqrt{\pi}} = \psi^2|_{\sqrt{\pi}} = 0$  and  $\rho|_{\sqrt{\pi}} = 0$ , while  $\sigma\psi|_{\sqrt{\pi}} \neq 0$ . Since  $\rho\sigma^2 = \rho^2\sigma = \rho\psi^2 = \rho^2\psi = 0$ , taking cup products with  $\sigma$  and  $\psi$  gives

$$\sigma^3 = n\rho\sigma\psi, \quad \sigma^2\psi = m\rho\sigma\psi, \quad \psi^3 = q\rho\sigma\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma\psi^2 = r\rho\sigma\psi.$$

4. If  $\ell \geq 2$  then  $a \equiv d \equiv 1$  and  $b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , so  $\varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  also, i.e.,  $\pi$  is orientable. In this case  $\sigma^2 = \psi^2 = \rho^2 = 0$ , and so

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \sigma^2, \psi^2).$$

Suppose now that  $\ell = 1$ .

5. If  $\pi$  is orientable and  $\Delta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  we may assume that one of  $\sigma, \psi$  or  $\sigma + \psi$  factors through  $Z/4Z$ . Thus either  $\sigma^2 = 0, \psi^2 = 0$  or  $\sigma^2 = \psi^2$ . We may assume that  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ . Then  $\rho\sigma^2 = \rho^2\sigma = 0$  and  $\psi\sigma^2 = \psi^2\sigma = 0$ , and so  $\sigma^3 \neq 0$ , by the nonsingularity of Poincaré duality. Hence

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \rho\psi + \sigma^2, \psi^2).$$

In this case we see that  $\phi^3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi^2 = 0$ .

If  $\pi$  is orientable and  $\Delta_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  then  $\tau \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$  and  $a, d$  are odd, and so  $a \equiv d \pmod{4}$ . In this case  $\psi^2 \neq 0$  and  $(\sigma + \psi)^2 \neq 0$  also, and so  $\sigma^2 = m\rho\sigma + n\rho\psi$  and  $\psi^2 = q\rho\sigma + r\rho\psi$  are linearly independent. Hence  $mr + nq = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Since  $w = 0$ ,  $\sigma^2\psi = \sigma\psi^2$  and so  $m = r$ .

6. Suppose first that  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $bc \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ , and so  $b \equiv c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $L_\phi = \text{Ker}(\rho) \cap \text{Ker}(\phi)$ . Then  $\pi/X^2(L_\phi)$  has a presentation

$$\langle t, x, y \mid t^4 = x^4 = y^2 = 1, tx = xt, tyt^{-1} = x^2y, xy = yx \rangle.$$

Let  $J = \langle t, x \rangle \cong (Z/4Z)^2$ . Then  $\sigma^2|_J = \rho\psi|_J = 0$ , while  $\rho\sigma|_J \neq 0$ . Applying part (3) of Lemma 2, we see that  $m = 0$ , and so  $\sigma^2 = \rho\psi$  and  $\psi^2 = \rho\sigma$ . (Note, however, that Lemma 2 does *not* assert that the relation  $\psi^2 = q\rho\sigma + r\rho\psi$  also holds in  $\pi/X^2(L_\phi)$ ! For this, we could use  $L_\psi = \text{Ker}(\rho) \cap \text{Ker}(\psi)$  instead.) Hence

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \rho\psi + \sigma^2, \rho\sigma + \psi^2).$$

In particular,  $\sigma^3 = \psi^3 = (\rho + \sigma)^3 = (\rho + \psi)^3 \neq 0$ .

If  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  then  $bc \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . If, say,  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  (so  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ) then the change of basis  $x' = x, y' = xy$  reduces this case to the one just considered. In terms of the given basis, we have

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \rho\sigma + \sigma^2, \rho\psi + \sigma^2 + \psi^2).$$

In this case  $\sigma^3 \neq 0$ , but  $\psi^3 = 0$ . A similar result holds if  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

7. If, however,  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  and  $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then  $\pi/X^4(\pi)$  has a presentation

$$\langle t, x, y \mid t^4 = x^4 = y^4 = 1, txt^{-1} = x^{-1}, tyt^{-1} = y^{-1}, xy = yx \rangle.$$

In this case  $J = \langle t, x \rangle$  is non-abelian, and  $\sigma^2|_J \neq 0$ , while  $\rho\psi|_J = 0$ . Hence we must have  $m = r = 1$ . It is clear from the symmetry of the presentation for  $\pi/X^4(\pi)$  that we must also have  $n = q$  in this case, and so  $n = q = 0$ . Thus

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \rho\sigma + \sigma^2, \rho\psi + \psi^2).$$

We now find that  $\phi^3 = 0$  for all  $\phi \in H^1(\pi)$ .

If  $\ell = 1$  and  $M$  is non-orientable then  $a$  and  $d$  are odd, and  $\Delta_1 = -a - d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . In this case  $\rho = w_1(M)$ , and so  $\sigma^2\psi + \sigma\psi^2 = \rho\sigma\psi \neq 0$ , by Lemma 1. After swapping  $x$  and  $y$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

8. If  $bc \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  then, after a further change of basis of the form  $x' = x, y' = xy$  or  $x' = xy, y' = y$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\sigma^2 = 0$ , and  $\pi/\langle\langle t^2, x, y^4 \rangle\rangle \cong D_8$ , so  $(\rho + \psi)\psi = 0$  also. Hence

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \sigma^2, \rho\psi + \psi^2).$$

In particular,  $(\sigma + \psi)^3 = (\rho + \sigma + \psi)^3 \neq 0$ , and all other classes have cube 0. In terms of the given bases, the other cases are:

If  $b \equiv 0$  and  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \sigma^2 + \psi^2, \rho\psi + \psi^2, \sigma^2\psi).$$

Here  $\sigma^3 = (\rho + \sigma)^3 \neq 0$  and all other classes have cube 0.

If  $b \equiv 2$  and  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \sigma^2, \psi^2 + \rho\sigma + \rho\psi).$$

Here  $\psi^3 = (\rho + \psi)^3 \neq 0$  and all other classes have cube 0.

9. If  $b \equiv c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $\sigma^2$  and  $\psi^2$  are linearly independent. There are three distinct epimorphisms from  $\pi$  to the almost extraspecial group  $E$ , given by  $f(x) = u^{-1}v, f(y) = u; g(x) = v, g(y) = uv^{-1}$ ; and  $h(x) = v, h(y) = u$ . Using these epimorphisms to pull back the relation given in Lemma 3, we find that

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \sigma, \psi]/(\rho^2, \sigma^2 + \rho\psi, \psi^2 + \rho\sigma + \rho\psi).$$

In particular, every epimorphism  $\phi \neq \rho$  has nonzero cube.

4. UNIONS OF TWISTED  $I$ -BUNDLES

Suppose that  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong D_\infty$ . Then  $\pi$  is orientable, and has a presentation

$$\langle u, v, y \mid uyu^{-1} = y^{-1}, v^2 = u^{2a}y^b, vu^{2c}y^dv^{-1} = u^{-2c}y^{-d} \rangle,$$

where  $ad - bc = \pm 1$  and  $abcd \neq 0$ . Let  $B = \langle u, y \rangle$  and  $C = \langle v, u^{2c}y^d \rangle$ .

If  $b$  is odd then  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus Z/4Z$ , where the summands are generated by  $u$  and  $u^{-a}v$ , respectively. Let  $U(u) = V(v) = 1$ ,  $U(v) = a$  and  $V(u) = 0$ . Then

$$H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[U, V, \Xi, \Omega]/(U^2, UV, V^2, U\Xi + V\Omega, \Xi^2, \Omega^2, \Xi\Omega),$$

where  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$  have degree 2.

If  $b$  is even then  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus (Z/2Z)^2$  and the images of  $u, v$  and  $y$  represent a basis for  $H_1(\pi)$ . Let  $\{U, V, Y\} \in H^1(\pi)$  be the dual basis. Then  $U^2, V^2$  and  $Y^2$  are all nonzero, but  $W = U + V$  lifts to a homomorphism from  $\pi$  to  $Z/4Z$ , and so  $W^2 = 0$ . Hence  $U^2 = V^2$ . Since  $U$  and  $V$  are induced from classes in  $H^1(D_\infty)$  we have  $UV = 0$ . We also have  $UY|_B = Y^2|_B$  and  $VY|_C = Y^2|_C$ , while  $U|_C, V|_B, U^2|_B$  and  $V^2|_C$  are all 0.

Suppose that  $pU^2 + qY^2 + rUY + sVY = 0$  in  $H^2(\pi)$ . On restricting to the subgroups  $B$  and  $C$ , we find that  $q+r = q+s = 0$ . Since  $U^2 \neq 0$  we must have  $q = r = s = 1$ . Multiplying by  $U$  and  $V$ , we find that  $UY^2 + U^2Y = 0$  and  $VY^2 + V^2Y = 0$ . Poincaré duality for  $\pi$  now implies that  $\{U^2, Y^2, UY\}$  is a basis for  $H^2(\pi)$ , while  $UY^2 = U^2Y = VY^2$  generates  $H^3(\pi)$ . We see also that  $U^3 = U^2V = UV^2 = V^3 = (U + V)^3 = 0$ , while  $(U + Y)^3 = (V + Y)^3 = (U + V + Y)^3 = Y^3$ .

Suppose first that  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $G = \pi/\langle\langle uv, u^2, y^4 \rangle\rangle \cong D_8$ . Hence  $(U + V + Y)Y = 0$  in  $H^3(\pi)$ . It follows easily that  $Y^3 = 0$ , and so all cubes are 0 in  $H^3(\pi)$ .

If  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $\pi/\langle\langle u^2, (uv)^2, v^4, y^4 \rangle\rangle$  has a presentation

$$\langle u, v, y \mid u^2 = (uv)^2 = v^4 = 1, uyu^{-1} = v y v^{-1} = y^{-1}, v^2 = y^2 \rangle$$

Hence there is an epimorphism  $f : \pi \rightarrow E$ , given by  $f(u) = t, f(v) = u$  and  $f(y) = u^{-1}t^{-1}v$ . Since  $f^*T = U + Y, f^*U = V + Y, f^*V = Y$  and  $UV = 0$ , it follows from Lemma 4 that  $UY + VY + V^2 + Y^2 = 0$  in  $H^2(\pi)$ . Multiplying by  $Y$ , we find that  $UY^2 + Y^3 = 0$  and so  $Y^3 \neq 0$ . In this case, only the cubes induced from  $H^*(\pi/\sqrt{\pi})$  are zero.

## 5. THE BORSUK-ULAM INDEX

We may identify an epimorphism  $\phi$  with a nonzero class in  $H^1(N; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Then  $BU(N, \phi) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \phi$  lifts to an integral class  $\Phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ , while  $BU(N, \phi) = n \Leftrightarrow \phi^n \neq 0$  in  $H^n(N; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . In general,  $1 \leq BU(N, \phi) \leq n$ .

See [1]. When  $n = 3$  the remaining possibility is that  $BU(M, \phi) = 2 \Leftrightarrow \phi^2 = 0$  but  $\phi$  is not the reduction of an integral class.

Suppose first that  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the following results are immediate from §3.

1. If  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow Z/2Z$  is the unique epimorphism which factors through  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}$  then  $BU(M, \rho) = 1$ .

2. If  $\tau \equiv \varepsilon - 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  for all  $\phi \neq \rho$ .

3. If  $\tau \equiv \varepsilon + 1 \pmod{4}$  and either  $\Delta_2$  is odd or  $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $b, c$  are divisible by 4, then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for all  $\phi \neq \rho$ .

4. If  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $\Delta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $\Delta_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for the two epimorphisms  $\phi \neq \rho$  such that  $\phi^2 = 0$  (i.e., that factor through  $Z/4Z$ ) and  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  for the four such that  $\phi^2 \neq 0$ .

5. If  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $\Delta_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  and  $\Theta \equiv -I_2 \pmod{4}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for all  $\phi \neq \rho$ .

6. If  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\Delta_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ , but  $\Theta \not\equiv -I_2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for the two epimorphisms  $\phi \neq \rho$  such that  $\phi^2 = 0$  and  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  for the four such that  $\phi^2 \neq 0$ .

7. If  $\varepsilon = -1$ ,  $\tau \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\Delta_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $bc \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for the four epimorphisms  $\phi \neq \rho$  such that  $\phi^3 = 0$  and  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  for the two such that  $\phi^3 \neq 0$ .

8. If  $\varepsilon = -1$ ,  $\tau \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\Delta_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $bc \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  for all  $\phi \neq \rho$ .

Suppose now that  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi} \cong D_\infty$ . Then the following results are immediate from §4.

9. If  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus Z/4Z$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for all  $\phi$ .

10. If  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus (Z/2Z)^2$  and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for all  $\phi$ .

11. If  $\pi^{ab} \cong Z/4cZ \oplus (Z/2Z)^2$  and  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  for epimorphisms  $\phi$  which factors through  $\pi/\sqrt{\pi}$ , while  $BU(M, \phi) = 3$  otherwise.

## 6. OTHER GEOMETRIES

We remark finally that similar arguments may be used to determine the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -cohomology rings and Borsuk-Ulam invariants for pairs  $(N, \phi)$  with  $N$  a closed  $\mathbb{E}^3$ - or  $Nil^3$ -manifold. These manifolds are all Seifert fibred over flat 2-orbifolds. Since they have been covered in [2], we shall confine ourselves to some brief observations.

The ten closed flat 3-manifolds may be easily treated individually. The only one admitting a class  $\phi$  with  $\phi^3 \neq 0$  has group  $G_4$ , with holonomy  $Z/4Z$  and abelianization  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/2Z$ . Thus  $H^1(\pi) = \langle T, X \rangle$ , where  $T^2 = 0$  and  $X^2 \neq 0$ . We may deduce that  $TX = 0$  also, by

mapping  $G_4$  onto  $D_8$ . It follows easily that

$$H^*(G_4) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[T, X, \Omega]/(T^2, TX, X\Omega, T\Omega + X^3, \Omega^2),$$

where  $\Omega$  has degree 2. (Thus  $X^3 = (T + X)^3 \neq 0$ . These classes correspond to the two epimorphisms without integral lifts.)

The possible Seifert bases  $B$  of closed  $\text{Nil}^3$ -manifolds are the seven flat 2-orbifolds with no reflector curves:  $B = T, Kb, S(2, 2, 2, 2), S(2, 4, 4), S(2, 3, 6), S(3, 3, 3)$  or  $P(2, 2)$ . Let  $\beta = \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(B)$  be the orbifold fundamental group of the base. Then  $\pi^{ab}$  is an extension of  $\beta^{ab}$  by a finite cyclic group  $Z/qZ$ , if the base is orientable ( $B \neq Kb$  or  $P(2, 2)$ ), and by  $Z/(2, q)Z$  otherwise. The ring  $H^*(\pi)$  depends only on the base  $B$  and the residue of  $q \pmod{4}$ . If  $B = T$  or  $Kb$  then  $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_{\Theta} \mathbb{Z}$ , for some  $\Theta \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . These are in fact the cases requiring most effort. In all other cases  $\pi^{ab}$  is finite, and the projection of  $\pi$  onto  $\beta$  induces an isomorphism  $H_1(\pi) \cong H_1(\beta)$ . When  $B = S(2, 3, 6)$  or  $S(3, 3, 3)$  this group is cyclic. (In particular, such  $\text{Nil}^3$ -manifolds are not unions of twisted  $I$ -bundles.) When  $B = S(2, 4, 4)$  we have  $\pi/X^4(\pi) \cong \beta/X^4(\beta) \cong G_4/X^4(G_4)$ . The cases of  $S(2, 2, 2, 2)$  and  $P(2, 2)$  are related to those of the flat 3-manifolds  $G_2$  and  $B_4$ , respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gonçalves, D.L., Hayat, C., Zvengrowski, P. The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for manifolds, with applications to dimensions two and three, in *Group actions and homogeneous spaces*, Fak. Mat. Fyziky Inform. Univ. Komenského, Bratislava (2010), 9–28.
- [2] Bauval, A., Gonçalves, D.L., Hayat, C. and Zvengrowski, P. The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem for Seifert manifolds, preprint (2009).
- [3] Hillman, J.A. The kernel of integral cup product, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1987), 10–15.
- [4] Martins, S.T. The cohomology ring of  $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , poster, XVIII Encontro Brasileiro de Topologia, Aguas de Lindoia (2012).
- [5] Robinson, D.J.S. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 80, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1982).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW  
2006, AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* jonathan.hillman@sydney.edu.au